

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/581,004 | 05/26/2006 | Erich Buhler | UP-350 | 7249 |
| 80494 George Pappas | 7590 09/12/20 | 98 | EXAM | UNER |
| 919 S. Harriso | | MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H | | |
| Suite 300 Fort Wayne, Il | N 46802 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 3765 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|----------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/581,004 | BUHLER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| BOBBY H. MUROMOTO JR | 3765 | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

| Statue | | |
|--------|--|--|

| S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (F | Trademark Office Rev. 08-06) | Office Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080911 | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2) Notic 3) Infor Pape | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-smatter Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 948) | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: | | | |
| Attachmer | | | Listanian Communication (PTO 440) | | | |
| | | . a list of the continue of | | | | |
| * : | See the attached detailed Office action fo | • | , | | | |
| | application from the International | | ave been received in this National Stage | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| - | · · | | ELLO C. C. 440/-) /-l/ (5) | | | |
| - | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 11) | | | e attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | | ne drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 10)[_] | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | - | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Ex | | | | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| | | and/or election require | ement | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ |) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | | ☐ This action is non-fin | al. | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n 02 June 2008 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.; and the form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, <u>such as "means"</u> and "said," should be avoided.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 13-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Speich US patent 6,328,076.

Speich discloses a thread control device for a shedding mechanism in a weaving loom. The actuator mechanism for the heddles (thread guiding members) is moved in one direction by a prestressed spring (positively designed) and in the opposite direction

Art Unit: 3765

by a pneumatic cylinder/piston assembly 178a as seen in figure 41, that is connected to a gas supply 190 to a valve 184.

Figure 41 clearly shows valve seats limiting the stroke of the valve as claimed. The position of the valve when the spring is fully extended inherently occurs simultaneously with the 'shutting off' of the compressed gas source as Speich discloses," the control element moving to and fro in the longitudinal direction is pretensioned in one direction at the lower end by means of a return spring and is connected at the upper end with the actuator by means of a connecting element such as a cord

In such a thread control device, the actuator does not have to execute the entire stroke path, but only a part corresponding to the switching amplitude, with the result that the device can be constructed in a substantially simpler and smaller way by contrast with the jacquard device, and that only a smaller amount of energy is required to surmount the switching path by comparison with the existing jacquard devices."

Figure 41 clearly shows a first valve seat at one end of a housing 186 and a second valve seat at a closing off portion of the housing 186 having a passage duct in the 'closed off portion' (slimmer upper portion of the housing); housing 186 is clearly cylindrical and sealed off.

The gap between the valve member and the housing wall inherently serves as a throttle point as the valve (piston) regulates the amount of pressure felt by the system.

The valve 184 is clearly shown as in the lower portion of the cylinder chamber.

Art Unit: 3765

The slimmed down portion of the upper portion of the housing 186 is considered a 'feed pressure chamber'.

The lower portion of the housing 186 clearly serves as a valve housing and has a gas feed source 190 that is on the lateral wall of the cylinder (pressure chamber).

Lower slimmed portion of the housing 186 serves as the first valve seat, while the upper slimmed portion serves as the second valve seat.

Claims 17-19 are functional in nature. Since all structure as claimed in these and intervening claims are disclosed, it follows that the functional limitations recited are inherently present since the claimed structure and the reference structure are shown as identical or nearly identical. Nothing precludes the reference structure from providing the functions recited in these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Speich in view of Hindle US patent 2,425,676.

Although Speich teaches essentially all of the limitations of the claimed invention, Speich does not specifically teach the use of an oil separator or oil separation outlet with the actuator cylinder/piston system.

Art Unit: 3765

However, the use of oil or other viscous fluids and lubricants in piston/cylinder devices is widely known and practiced in all types of mechanical arts to reduce friction and provide pressurized lubrication and dampening effects in moving mechanical parts. It would have been well within the skilled artisan's knowledge and experience to use oil as a pressurized lubrication fluid in a piston/cylinder arrangement (which would inherently include oil feed, outflow, and conduits) to provide lubrication and dampening effects to the moving parts of any machine much less a shedding device for a weaving loom.

Additionally, Hindle teaches a hydraulic shedding means that uses in combination with a cylinder/piston actuator oil and the required oil separation outlet/outflow device d2 to d4 located on the top and bottom of the pressure chamber or cylinder (depending on the stroke direction either of the conduits will serve as the feed or outflow tubes.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an oil circulation (feed, outflow, separation, storage) device into the cylinder/piston actuator of Speich to provide the actuator and interconnected mechanical parts of the loom with pressurized lubrication to reduce friction and noise between the moving parts of the shedding device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/02/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3765

Applicant's assertions and attachment of definitions with regard to "pistons" and
"valves" prove the examiner's position. As a 'pistion' is a type of 'valve'. Also the device
in the prior art clearly "regulates the flow of fluids" as defined by applicant's attached
definition of valves.

Applicant incorrectly argues a combination of piston and valve is required by the claim. However, the claim does not require such combination.

Applicant seems to argue 'first' versus 'second' valve seats but all claimed structures are clearly shown so the nomenclature of whether one or the other is the 'first or second' is irrelevant. As the prior art device clearly functions in the same manner as required by the current claim language.

Also discussion with regard to non-analagous functionality is irrelevant in 102 rejections as stated by the MPEP.

Applicant's assertion that the fluid in the valve/piston would be at ambient pressure is incorrect. The movement of the piston creates pressure against the fluid and depending on the viscosity of the fluid and the size of the opening (in the prior art relatively small) would create some amount of pressure well above 'ambient pressure'. Such an assertion by applicant's representative shows clear lack of understanding of fluid mechanics.

Further the addition of the oil separating device in the combined rejection above does provide a gas pressure chamber from the base reference and an oil separating device from Hindle. Arguments regarding separate elements are not only not required by the claims but are shown by the combined references above.

Art Unit: 3765

Since these are the arguments and no amendments of scope are offered the rejections remain.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BOBBY H. MUROMOTO JR whose telephone number is (571)272-4991. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on 571-272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,004 Page 8

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert H Muromoto, Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765 February 22, 2008